

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,507	10/07/2003	Philip Lienau	SCH-1932	8405
	7590 01/03/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			SOROUSH, LAYLA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
. Office Action Summer	10/679,507	LIENAU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Layla Soroush	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	election requirement. cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•,			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation that contains at least one emulsifier, at least one auxiliary emulsifier and/or solvent as well as at least one lipid, characterized in that the mass ratio of emulsifier to auxiliary emulsifier and/or solvent (Smix) is 1:1 to 9:1 and the total lipid proportion is > 0% (m/m), whereby this preparation at least partially inhibits at least one intestinal enzyme and/or at least one intestinal efflux system., classified in class 424, subclass 400.
- II. Claims 22-26, drawn to the use of the pharmaceutical preparation for the production of a peroral pharmaceutical agent for inhibiting at least one intestinal enzyme and/or at least one intestinal efflux system., classified in class 424, subclass 400.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a process for increasing the bioavailability of pharmaceutical substances that are to be administered perorally, wherein a pharmaceutical preparation contains a pharmaceutical substance and is administered perorally, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Art Unit: 1617

using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used to increase the bioavailability of strongly lipophilic and/or water- insoluble pharmaceutical substances via the increase in solubility Lienau et al. (Proc. EUFEPS World Conference on Drug Absorption and Drug Delivery, Copenhagen, June 18-20, 2001, p. 106, and Lienau et al., Proc. 4th World Meeting ADRITELF/APGI/APV, Florence, April 8/11, 2002, p. 1463 f -- IDS).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used to increase the bioavailability of strongly lipophilic and/or water-insoluble pharmaceutical substances via the increase in solubility Lienau et al. (Proc. EUFEPS World Conference on Drug Absorption and Drug Delivery, Copenhagen, June 18-20, 2001, p. 106, and Lienau et al., Proc. 4th World Meeting ADRITELF/APGI/APV, Florence, April 8/11, 2002, p. 1463 f -- IDS).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/679,507

Art Unit: 1617

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are unrelated because Group II is directed the use of the pharmaceutical preparation for the production of a peroral pharmaceutical agent for inhibiting at least one intestinal enzyme and/or at least one intestinal efflux system, whereas Group III is directed to a process for increasing the bioavailability of pharmaceutical substances that are to be administered perorally. Thus, the uses have different functions and effects.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: emulsifiers, auxiliary emulsifiers, solvents, lipids, intestinal enzymes, intestinal efflux systems, steroids. The species are independent or distinct because there is a plurality of emulsifiers, auxiliary emulsifiers, solvents, lipids, intestinal enzymes, intestinal efflux systems, steroids each with distinct structures and properties, the search for all of which presents an undue burden on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process

Application/Control Number: 10/679,507

Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP 812.01). Since the restriction election is considered complex, a call to the attorney for a telephone election was not made.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,507

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Soroush whose telephone number is (571)272-5008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7